

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/576,532	01/24/2007	Takefumi Kabashima	Q94566	3777
23373 7590 12/22/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			DUDA, RINA I	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576.532 KABASHIMA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Rina I. Duda 2837 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/20/06&5/2/08.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/576,532 Art Unit: 2837

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herden et al (US Patent 6130535) and Arinaga et al (US Patent 6061499).

Claim 1, Herden et al teaches a magnetic encoder device comprising a magnetic encoder including a permanent magnet 21/22 fixed to a rotating body 11 and a magnetic field detecting element 16 which faces the permanent magnet through an air gap 15 and is fixed on the fixed body 10, wherein the rotating body has a ring shape and the permanent magnet has a ring shape, the fixed body 10 has a circular outer circumference, the magnetic field element is disposed on an outer circumferential side of the fixed body 10 and the air gap 15. Although it is obvious that a processing circuit would have to be present in the device taught by Herden et al in order to determine the rotary angle between the stator and rotor, they do not clearly describe said processing circuit. However, Arinaga et al teaches a magnetic encoder apparatus having a magnetic encoder including a magnetic field sensor, a permanent magnet, a fixed body, a rotating body, and a signal processing circuit 6 for receiving the information from the magnetic sensor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a processing circuit in the device

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/576,532

Art Unit: 2837

taught by Herden et al, since said circuit would be able to determine a rotary angle between the rotor and stator using the information outputted by the magnetic sensor.

Claim 2, Herden et al describes the permanent magnet being magnetized to two poles.

Claims 3-6, Herden et al describes in figure 1 and corresponding description the rotor and stator being made of a magnetic material.

 Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herden et al (US Patent 6130535) and Arinaga et al (US Patent 6061499) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Lohberg et al (US Publication 20040066183).

The only difference between the teachings of Herden et al/Arinaga et al and the subject matter of claim 7 is that claim 7 recites a system including a magnetic encoder. However, Lohberger et al describes an electronic braking system comprising an electronic brake and a magnetic encoder. Therefore, it would have obvious to one person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a magnetic encoder, since said encoders are cheaper and provide a plurality of signals.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 7 recites the limitation "the actuator" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,532

Art Unit: 2837

## Claim Objections

6. Claims 8-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I. Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson can be reached on 571-272-2227. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rina I Duda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837